UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/718,326	11/20/2003	William A. Farone	20009.UTL	1056		
Cynthia H. O'D	7590 05/28/200 onohue	EXAMINER				
Applied Power	Concepts	ALEXANDER, LYLE				
411 East Julianna Street Anaheim, CA 92801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/28/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/718,326	FARONE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lyle A. Alexander	1797			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Pesnonsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	abruary 2008				
· · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	· <del></del>					
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayre, 1999 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
, <b>—</b>	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The 2/27/08 amendments "related to the species concentration" is new matter that is not supported by the original disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2,4-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Bochner et al. (2003/0162164).

See the appropriate paragraph of the 8/27/07 Office action.

Even if there were support for the for the proposed amendments "related to the species concentration", Bochner et al. teach in paragraphs [037],[0347],[0360], etc. determining the kinetic response of the sample in relation to a precise quantity reagents which has been properly read on the claimed "related to the species concentration."

Claims 1-2,4-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bochner et al. (USP 5,627,045).

See the appropriate paragraph of the 8/27/07 Office action.

Even if there were support for the for the proposed amendments "related to the species concentration", Bochner et al. determining the kinetic response of the sample in relation to a precise quantity reagents which has been properly read on the claimed "related to the species concentration."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bochner et al. (USP 5,627,045) or Bochner et al. (2003/0162164) either in view of Thompson et al. (USP 5,164,301).

See the appropriate paragraph of the 8/27/07 Office action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state the Bochner references do not teach using the ORP to determine the amount of material present. Rather, Applicants characterized Bochner as teaching indication of the presence of the analyte. This is not convincing because even if there were support for this language, Bochner clearly adds a precise quantity of

Art Unit: 1797

oxidation-reduction reagents that indicate a threshold concentration of analyte which is indistinguishable form the pending claim language.

Applicants traverse the 35 USC 103 rejections of the stating there is no motivation to modify the Bochner references in view of Thompson to use an indio carmine indicator because Thompson requires two indicators. The Office has only used Thompson to teach the indio carmine is well known in the art and equivalent to the indicators taught by the Bochner references. The Office maintains the 35 USC 103 rejections of record are proper and meet all of the claimed limitations.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,326 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

/Lyle A Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797